

## (Monarch Butterfly)

---



Steve Cary,

### Taxonomy

- **Class:** Insecta
- **Order:** Lepidoptera
- **Family:** Nymphalidae
- **Genus:** Danaus
- **Scientific Name:** *Danaus plexippus* (Linnaeus, 1758)
- **Common Name:** Monarch Butterfly
- **Synonyms:**
- **Taxonomic Name Source:** Pelham, J.P. 2023. A catalogue of the butterflies of the United States and Canada. Revised 15 February 2023. <http://butterfliesofamerica.com/US-Can-Cat.htm>

### Agency Status

- **NMDGF:**
- **Federal Status:**
- **BLM Sensitive:**
- **USFS:**
- **IUCN Red List:** [Least Concern](#)
- **Nature Serve Global:** [G4](#)
- **NHNM State:** S3
- **NM Endemic:** NO

### Description

The Monarch is likely the most widely recognized butterfly in North America, owing to its large size, bright colors, and phenomenal migratory behavior. Above, it is bright orange with black veins. The margins of the wings are black and the apical regions are decorated with a double row of small white spots. Below the colors are similar as above, though they are paler. Males have a black scent pad near the hindwing anal angle (Cary and Toliver 2024).

### Habitat and Ecology

Monarchs in the eastern migratory population overwinter in large colonies within Oyamel Fir (*Abies religiosa*) forests of central Mexico (Brower *et al.* 2004, Vidal and Rendón-Salinas 2014), while western monarchs overwinter in forested groves along the coast of California. In both cases, butterflies come out of reproductive diapause in the early spring. Mating then takes place before monarchs begin dispersing to their summer breeding areas (Jepsen *et al.* 2015). From Mexico, butterflies head north, looking for tender milkweed plants to lay their eggs on. After several generations, they

eventually reach southern Canada and the northeastern United States by midsummer (Malcom *et al.* 1993). From coastal California, summer breeding areas are as far flung as the interior parts of the West including Nevada, Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Utah, Arizona, and even British Columbia (Dingle *et al.* 2005, Jepsen *et al.* 2015, Pyle 2015).

Monarchs prefer open habitats including native prairies, weedy fields, meadows, marshes and roadsides (Opler and Wright 1999). Adults feed on the flowers of their larval host plant, milkweed (*Asclepias* spp.), as well as many other types of flowers, especially composites, plants in the family Asteraceae (Opler and Wright 1999, Brock 2008). Males are often seen patrolling open areas to search for females during the breeding season (Opler and Wright 1999). Monarch larvae feed on milkweeds (*Asclepias* spp.) and other plants in the Apocynaceae family (Opler and Wright 1999, Brock 2008), sequestering cardenolide secondary compounds from the milkweed sap. This makes them distasteful to predators as larvae and adults (Jones and Agrawal 2016).

## Geographic Range:

The Monarch Butterfly is primarily distributed throughout the Americas, from southern Canada, through the United States, Mexico, the Caribbean, and Central America, to South America, north of the Amazon Basin (Opler and Wright 1999). In North America, this species undergoes an iconic annual migration: every year, millions of Monarchs travel from overwintering sites in Central Mexico and coastal California, to summer breeding grounds throughout the United States and Canada (Urquhart and Urquhart 1978). By the late summer and fall, after several generations, individuals embark on the return journey, travelling distances up to 4,000 km (Brower 1996). However, in much of its neotropical range within the Americas and elsewhere, this species does not participate in continental scale migrations and can be found year-round as a resident (Opler and Wright 1999). Monarchs are also found in many other parts of the world, including Europe (mostly southern Spain, Portugal, the Azores and the Canary Islands), Australia, New Zealand, many Pacific Islands, including Hawai'i, Taiwan and the Philippines (Opler and Wright 1999, Neves *et al.* 2001, Smith *et al.* 2005). In most cases, it is hypothesized that occasional vagrants, often blown in by big weather events, eventually became established (Clarke and Zalucki 2004).

There are at least two subspecies of *Danaus plexippus* currently recognized: *D. p. plexippus* is the migratory subspecies found in the United States, Canada, Mexico, the Canary Islands, Madeira, the Azores, Bermuda and numerous Pacific islands including Hawai'i, Australia and New Zealand; *D. p. megalippe* is the non-migratory subspecies found in southern Florida and throughout the Caribbean, Central America, and South America north of the Amazon Drainage (Smith *et al.* 2005).

## Conservation Considerations:

This iconic butterfly receives a remarkable amount of conservation attention. For example, over 85 federal and state agencies, non-governmental organizations, businesses and academic programs collaborate on monarch conservation projects, such as habitat restoration, milkweed planting, and community science data collection (Monarch Joint Venture 2024). In Mexico, the primary overwintering sites have been protected since 1975 (Center for Biological Diversity *et al.* 2014). Most recently, the Mexican government set aside 56,259 hectares of forest, running in a belt along the border of Michoacán and Estado Mexico, to form the Monarch Butterfly Biosphere Reserve (MBBR), which remains in place today (Vidal and Rendón-Salinas 2014). In 2008, the MBBR became a UNESCO World Heritage Site. Canada has also taken steps to protect Monarchs, including listing it as a species of special concern under the Species at Risk Act in 2003 (Shahani *et al.* 2015). In the United States, the species has been petitioned for protections under the Endangered Species Act (The Center for Biological Diversity *et al.* 2014). In 2021, the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) announced that listing the monarch was “warranted but precluded by higher priority actions”. As of December of 2024, the USFWS proposed to list the species as threatened. A final ruling will be issued in March of 2025, after a public

comment period has been carried out.

While the IUCN Red List status is Least Concern at the species level, this listing takes into consideration both subspecies, *Danaus plexippus plexippus* and *D. p. megalipe* (Walker *et al.* 2022). The migratory subspecies, *Danaus plexippus plexippus* is listed as Vulnerable on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee 2023). This is the only subspecies found in the U.S. and Canada, so while the species as a whole may not be at high risk of extinction currently, the migration and the therefore the occurrence of Monarchs across North America, is vulnerable to extinction.

## Threats:

The main threats to the Monarch are degradation of breeding habitat, loss of overwintering habitat, and climate change associated increases in severe weather events (The Center for Biological Diversity *et al.* 2014, Shahani *et al.* 2015, Crone *et al.* 2019).

In summer breeding areas, increased agricultural intensification and associated declines in milkweed host plants and nectar sources is considered the primary driver of past declines (Pleasants and Oberhauser 2013, Flockhart *et al.* 2015). Milkweed losses have been driven by an increased use of glyphosate herbicides within croplands, resulting from expanded use of herbicide resistant genetically modified (GM) corn and soybean crops (Pleasants and Oberhauser 2013, Flockhart *et al.* 2015). Other threats to milkweed include loss of croplands, mowing and herbicide spraying in rights of way, and urban development (Borders and Lee-Mader 2014).

At overwintering sites in Mexico and California, habitat loss and forest fragmentation are problematic (Brower *et al.* 2002). In Mexico, suitable overwintering habitat is often degraded as a result of commercial logging (legal and illegal), conversion for agriculture and pastures, charcoal production, and controlled burns (Brower *et al.* 2002). In California, the main driver of habitat loss is urban development and a lack of proactive management (Jepsen and Black 2015). Since 1985, at least 76 overwintering sites have been lost and many additional sites have been degraded due to wildfire, minor tree trimming or removal, drought, tree pests, and mismanagement (Sakai and Calvert 1991, Pelton *et al.* 2016, Pelton 2020).

Severe weather and climate change have also been suggested as threats for the Monarch. Severe weather events, which are increasing in frequency, have been known to create massive fluctuations in the numbers of overwintering Monarchs. For example, a particularly cold, wet storm in 2002 killed as many as 500 million butterflies (Brower *et al.* 2007). Warmer fall and winter temperatures are also problematic, as they may deplete lipid reserves and expedite reproductive development, leading to early departures from overwintering areas (Oberhauser and Petersen 2003). Habitat suitability models predict overwintering sites in Mexico and California will become less suitable in the coming years (Fisher *et al.* 2018, Oberhauser and Petersen 2003). Lastly, increased incidence of drought is also likely to adversely impact monarchs. Availability of floral resources along autumn migration routes is critical to fall migration success and is positively correlated with abundance at over wintering sites in both Mexico and California (Stevens and Frey 2010, Saunders *et al.* 2019).

Other noted threats include parasites and pathogens and increased insecticide use. The native protozoan parasite *Ophryocystis elektroscirrha* (OE) has increased in incidence since the 1990s. Infected individuals are known to have lower fecundity (Altizer 2001, Thogmartin *et al.* 2017). Increased insecticide use has been implicated in declines of butterfly populations (Gilburn *et al.* 2015, Forister *et al.* 2016). In exposure trials conducted on Monarch larvae in the

field, neonicotinoid (clothianidin) exposure caused sublethal and lethal effects, such as slower development, lower body mass, and caused higher mortality rates (Pecenka and Lundgren 2015).

## Population:

Annual monitoring takes place for the eastern and western migratory populations at overwintering sites in California and Mexico. Long term declines for both populations have been reported (Brower *et al.* 2011, Semmens *et al.* 2016, Schultz *et al.* 2017). The most drastic declines for the eastern migratory population were seen from 1996 to 2014, when the population declined by 84% (Semmens *et al.* 2016). In the last 10 years, declines have slowed, and the population has more or less stabilized. However, the population remains much lower than historic levels and is therefore vulnerable to stochastic threats, such as drought along the migration corridors or severe winter weather in overwintering areas.

For the western migratory population, an estimated population size of 3 to 10 million in the 1980s, has declined to less than 1,914 individuals in the winter season of 2020–2021, a decline of 99.9% (Pelton and Knight 2021, Howard 2024). Since 2021, the numbers have recovered slightly, with a total of 233,394 butterflies counted in the 2023-2024 winter season (Howard 2024).

Despite reliable data for the migratory subspecies, total population size estimates are unknown at the species level, because there is little population data available for the non-migratory subspecies *D. p. megalippe*, which occupies a substantial portion of the total range from the Caribbean south, through Central America, to northern portions of South America (Walker *et al.* 2022).

## References:

- [Cary, S.J. and Toliver, M.E.. 2024. Butterflies of New Mexico. Pajarito Environmental Education Center \(PEEC\) .  
https://peechnature.org/butterflies-of-new-mexico/](https://peechnature.org/butterflies-of-new-mexico/)
- Opler, P.A. and Wright, A.B.. 1999. A field guide to western butterflies. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, MA .
- Center for Biological Diversity, Center for Food Safety, The Xerces Society and Brower, L.. 2014. Petition to Protect the Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus plexippus plexippus*) Under the Endangered Species Act.
- Dingle, H., Zalucki, M.P., Rochester, W.A. and Armijo-Prewitt, T.. 2005. Distribution of the monarch butterfly, *Danaus plexippus* in western North America. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 85: (491-500).
- Boppré, M. and Vane-Wright, R.I.. 2012. The Butterfly House Industry: conservation risks and education opportunities. *Conservation and Society* 10: (285-303).
- Borders, B. and Lee-Mäder, E.. 2014. Milkweeds: A Conservation Practitioner's Guide. The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, Portland, OR .
- Jepsen, S., Schweitzer, D.F., Young, B., Sears, N., Ormes, M. and Black, S.H.. 2015. Conservation Status and Ecology of Monarchs in the United States. NatureServe, Arlington, VA and The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, Portland, OR.
- Pyle, R.M.. 2015. Monarchs in the Mist: New Perspectives on Monarch Distribution in the Pacific Northwest. In: K.S. Oberhauser, K.R. Nail and S. Altizer (eds), *Monarchs in a Changing World: Biology and Conservation of an Iconic Butterfly*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY .
- Vidal, O. and Rendón-Salinas, E.. 2014. Dynamics and trends of overwintering colonies of the monarch butterfly in Mexico. *Conservation Biology* 180: (165-175).
- Brock, J.P.. 2008. *Butterflies of the Southwest*. Rio Nuevo Publishers, Tucson, AZ .
- Malcom, S.B., Cockrell, B.J. and Brower, L.P.. 1993. Spring recolonization of Eastern North America by the

- monarch butterfly: successive brood or single sweep migration? In: S.B. Malcolm and M.P. Zalucki (eds), *Biology and Conservation of the Monarch Butterfly*. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, CA .
- Jones, P.L. and Agrawal, A.A.. 2016. Consequences of toxic secondary compounds in nectar for mutualist bees and antagonist butterflies. *Ecology* 97: (2570-2579).
  - Brower, L.P.. 1996. Monarch butterfly orientation: missing pieces of a magnificent puzzle. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 199: (93-103).
  - Urquhart, F.A. and Urquhart, N.R.. 1978. Autumnal migration routes of the eastern population of the monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) (L.) (Danainae:Lepidoptera) in North America to the overwintering site in the neovolcanic plateau of Mexico. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 56: (1754-1764).
  - Neves, V.C., Fraga, J.C., Schafer, H., Vieira, V., Sousa, A.B. and Borges, P.V.. 2001. The occurrence of the Monarch butterfly, *Danaus plexippus* L. in the Azores, with a brief review of its biology. *Arquipélago* 18A: (17-24).
  - Smith, D.A.S., Lushai, G. and Allen, J.A.. 2005. A classification of *Danaus* butterflies (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) based upon data from morphology and DNA. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 144: (191-212).
  - Clarke, A.R. and Zalucki, M.P.. 2004. Monarchs in Australia: on the winds of a storm?. *Biological Invasions* 6: (123-127).
  - Shahani, P.C., del Río Pesado, G., Schappert, P. and Serrano, E.G.. 2015. Monarch Habitat Conservation across North America: Past Progress and Future Needs. In: K.S. Oberhauser, K.R. Nail and S. Altizer (eds), *Monarchs in a Changing World: Biology and Conservation of an Iconic Butterfly*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY .
  - [Monarch Joint Venture. 2024. Conservation Implementation Framework. https://monarchjointventure.org/about-us/conservation-implementation-plan](https://monarchjointventure.org/about-us/conservation-implementation-plan)
  - [Walker, A., Oberhauser, K.S., Pelton, E.M. & Pleasants, J.M.. 2022. \*Danaus Plexippus\* \(errata version published in 2022\). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2022: e.T159971A219149911. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2022-1.RLTS.T159971A219149911.en](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2022-1.RLTS.T159971A219149911.en)
  - [IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee. 2023. \*Danaus Plexippus\* ssp. \*Plexippus\* \(amended version of 2022 assessment\). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2023: e.T194052138A246096271. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2022-1.RLTS.T159971A219149911.en](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2022-1.RLTS.T159971A219149911.en)
  - Crone, E.E., Pelton, E.M., Brown, L.M., Thomas, C.C. and Schultz, C.B.. 2019. Why are monarch butterflies declining in the West? Understanding the importance of multiple correlated drivers. *Ecological Applications* 29: (e01975).
  - Pleasants, J.M. and Oberhauser, K.S.. 2013. Milkweed loss in agricultural fields because of herbicide use: effect on the monarch butterfly population: Herbicide use and monarch butterflies. *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 6: (135-144).
  - Flockhart, D.T.T., Pichancourt, J.B., Norris, D.R. and Martin, T.G.. 2015. Unravelling the annual cycle in a migratory animal: breeding-season habitat loss drives population declines of monarch butterflies. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84: (155-165).
  - Brower, L., Kust, D., Salinas, E., García-Serrano, E., Kust, K.R., Miller, J., Fernandez del Rey, C. and Pape, K.. 2007. Catastrophic winter storm mortality of Monarch butterflies in Mexico during January 2002. *Environmental Science*.
  - Brower, L.P., Castilleja, G., Peralta, A., Lopez-Garcia, J., Bojorquez-Tapia, L., Diaz, S., Melgarejo, D. and Missrie, M.. 2002. Quantitative changes in forest quality in a principal overwintering area of the Monarch butterfly in Mexico, 1971-1999. *Conservation in Practice* 16: (346-359).
  - Jepsen, S. and Black, S.H.. 2015. Understanding and conserving the western North American Monarch population. In: K.S. Oberhauser, K.R. Nail and S. Altizer (eds), *Monarchs in a Changing World: Biology and Conservation of an Iconic Butterfly*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY .
  - Pelton, E., Jepsen, S., Schultz, C., Fallon, C. and Black, S.H.. 2016. State of the monarch butterfly overwintering sites in California. The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, Portland, OR .
  - [Pelton, E.. 2020. The vanishing butterfly groves of California. Xerces Blog. The Xerces Society for Invertebrate](#)

[Conservation. https://xerces.org/blog/vanishing-butterfly-groves-of-california](https://xerces.org/blog/vanishing-butterfly-groves-of-california)

- Sakai, W.H. and Calvert, W.C.. 1991. Statewide monarch butterfly management plan for the State of California Department of Parks and Recreation. Santa Monica College, Santa Monica, CA .
- Fisher, A., Saniee, K., Van der Heide, C., Griffiths, J., Meade, D. and Villablanca, F.. 2018. Climatic niche model for overwintering monarch butterflies in a topographically complex region of California. *Insects* 9: (167).
- Oberhauser, K.S. and Peterson, A.T.. 2003. Modelling current and future potential wintering distributions of eastern North American monarch butterflies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)* 100: (14063-14068).
- Saunders, S.P., Ries, L., Neupane, N., Ramirez, M.I., Garcia-Serrano, E., Rendón-Salinas, E. and Zipkin, E.F.. 2019. Multiscale seasonal factors drive the size of winter monarch colonies. *roceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)* 116: (8609-8614).
- Stevens, S.R. and Frey, D.F.. 2010. Host plant pattern and variation in climate predict the location of natal grounds for migratory monarch butterflies in western North America. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 14: (731-744).
- Thogmartin, W.E., Wiederholt, R., Oberhauser, K., Drum, R.G., Diffendorfer, J.E., Altizer, S., Taylor, O.R., Pleasants, J., Semmens, D., Semmens, B., Erickson, R., Libby, K. and Lopez-Hoffman, L.. 2017. Monarch butterfly population decline in North America: identifying the threatening processes. *Royal Society Open Science* 4: (170760).
- Pecenka, J.R. and Lundgren, J.G.. 2015. Non-target effects of clothianidin on monarch butterflies. *The Science of Nature* 102: (1270).
- Gilburn, A.S., Bunnefeld, N., Wilson, J.M., Botham, M.S., Brereton, T.M., Fox, R. and Goulson, D.. 2015. Are neonicotinoid insecticides driving declines of widespread butterflies?. *PeerJ* 3: (e1402).
- Forister, M.L., Cousens, B., Harrison, J.G., Anderson, K., Thorne, J.H., Waetjen, D., Nice, C.C., De Parsia, M., Hladik, M.L., Meese, R., van Vliet, H. and Shapiro, A.M.. 2016. Increasing neonicotinoid use and the declining butterfly fauna of lowland California. *Biology Letters* 12: (2010475).
- Altizer, S.M.. 2001. Migratory behavior and host-parasite co-evolution in natural populations of monarch butterflies infected with a protozoan parasite. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 3: (611-632).
- Brower, L., Taylor, O.R., Williams, E.H., Slayback, D.A., Zubieta, R.R. and Ramirez, M.I.. 2011. Decline of monarch butterflies overwintering in Mexico: is the migratory phenomenon at risk?. *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 5: (95-100).
- Semmens, B.X., Semmens, D.J., Thogmartin, W.E., Wiederholt, R., Lopez-Hoffman, L., Diffendorfer, J.E., Pleasants, J.M., Oberhauser, K.S. and Taylor, O.R.. 2016. Quasi-extinction risk and population targets for the Eastern migratory population of monarch butterflies (*Danaus Plexippus*). *Scientific Reports* 6: (1-7).
- Schultz, C.B., Brown, L.M., Pelton, E. and Crone, E.E.. 2017. Citizen science monitoring demonstrates dramatic declines of monarch butterflies in western North America. *Biological Conservation* 214: (343-346).
- [Howard, I.. 2024. Western Monarch Count Tallies 233,394 Butterflies. Xerces Blog. The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation. https://xerces.org/blog/western-monarch-count-tallies-233394-butterflies](https://xerces.org/blog/western-monarch-count-tallies-233394-butterflies)

## More Information

